



SAD FACE A DISSCUSSION ON 3D MODELS



Ninja3dPrinting

VIEW IN BROWSER

updated 10. 10. 2022 | published 10. 10. 2022

Summary

Original discussion found here. An inspection on copyright law <https://www.thingiverse.com/thing:1350837/comments>

[Art & Design](#) > [Sculptures](#)

Original discussion found here.

An inspection on copyright law

<https://www.thingiverse.com/thing:1350837/comments>

While years may have passed 3d models and their copyright still have no solid guides for copyright.

Whether the 3d model can be classed as art or simply an interpretation of software and under the copyright of that software creator.

While sites such as printables.com and others state files can be creative commons and similar US and EU law remains unclear.

Simply by importing a file into slicing software US and EU law states that the art can be seen as derivatives and not the original art, previous cases infer that creative commons no-derivatives licenses are fundamentally incompatible with 3d printing.

Also does an artist who creates a Mickey mouse design own copyright of that model or does Disney (names changed) own the overall rights to the piece.

While I find the argument of “you put something in a public space” entirely simplistic and moronic there are some real problems where the law stands.

Model files



sad_face.stl

License ©

This work is licensed under a
[Creative Commons \(International License\)](#)



Public Domain

-
- ✓ | Sharing without ATTRIBUTION
 - ✓ | Remix Culture allowed
 - ✓ | Commercial Use
 - ✓ | Free Cultural Works
 - ✓ | Meets Open Definition